arXiv:1510.01132v1 [math.AP] 5 Oct 2015

A LOGARITHMIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH ASYMPTOTIC CONDITIONS ON THE POTENTIAL

CHAO JI AND ANDRZEJ SZULKIN

Abstract. In this paper we consider a class of logarithmic Schrödinger equations with a potential which may change sign. When the potential is coercive, we obtain infinitely many solutions by adapting some arguments of the Fountain theorem, and in the case of bounded potential we obtain a ground state solution, i.e. a nontrivial solution with least possible energy. The functional corresponding to the problem is the sum of a smooth and a convex lower semicontinuous term.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the logarithmic Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = u\log u^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where the potential V is continuous and satisfies the asymptotic condition $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} V(x) = V_\infty$, where V_∞ is a constant such that $V_\infty + 1 \in (0, \infty]$. Applications of this kind of equations to different problems in physics have been discussed in [16], see also [21]. The mathematical literature concerning the logarithmic Schrödinger equation does not seem to be very extensive, let us here mention [3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 16].

The energy functional J associated with problem (1.1) is

$$J(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + (V(x)+1)u^2\right) dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2\log u^2\, dx \tag{1.2}$$

and it is easy to see that, formally, each critical point of J is a solution of (1.1). However, this functional takes the value $+\infty$ for some $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in particular, it is not of class C^1. This will force us to go beyond standard critical point theories. More precisely, we will use the critical point theory developed in [17], see Section 2.

Now we state our main results. Denote the spectrum of $-\Delta+V$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by $\sigma(-\Delta+V)$.

Theorem 1.1. *If $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} V(x) = \infty$, then equation (1.1) has infinitely many solutions $\pm u_n$ such that $J(\pm u_n) \to \infty$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q40, 35J20, 58E30.

Key words and phrases. Logarithmic Schrödinger equation, sign-changing potential, Fountain theorem, multiplicity of solutions, ground state solution, nonsmooth critical point theory.

The first author was supported by the China Scholarship Council and NSFC (grant No. 11301181) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

This work was carried out when the first author visited Stockholm University. He is very grateful to the members of the Department of Mathematics for their warm hospitality.

Theorem 1.2. *If $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} V(x) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) := V_\infty \in (-1, \infty)$ and the spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta + V + 1) \subset (0, \infty)$, then equation (1.1) has a ground state solution $u > 0$.*

In Theorem 1.1 we shall work in the space

$$X := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + (V(x) + 1)^+ u^2)\, dx < \infty\}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $V^\pm := \max\{\pm V, 0\}$. Under the assumptions of this theorem X is compactly embedded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and hence (by interpolation) in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \le p < 2^*$, where $2^* := 2N/(N-2)$ if $N \ge 3$ and $+\infty$ if $N = 1$ or 2. This result is well known and can be found (implicitly) e.g. in [15]. It implies in particular that $\sigma(-\Delta+V+1)$ consists of eigenvalues converging to infinity and the quadratic form $u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^2 + (V(x) + 1)u^2)\, dx$ is positive definite on a space of finite codimension. Some weaker conditions ensuring that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact (and which would in fact suffice for the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 to hold) have been discussed in detail in [2].

We also note that depending on the choice of V the functional J may or may not take the value $+\infty$ in X. Indeed, if $N = 1$ and u is a smooth function such that $u(x) = (\sqrt{x}\log x)^{-1}$ for $x \ge 2$ and $u(x) = 0$ for $x \le 0$, then $u \in X$ and $J(u) = +\infty$ provided V has sufficiently slow growth, e.g. $V(x) = (\log x)^{1/2}$ for $x \ge 2$. On the other hand, if $V \ge \delta > 0$ and $1/V$ is integrable on $|x| > R$ for some $R > 0$, then, using that $|u \log u| \le C_q(1 + |u|^q)$ for any $q > 1$, we obtain by the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{|x|>R} u^2 \log u^2\, dx\right| &\le \left(\int_{|x|>R} V(x)u^2\, dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|x|>R} V(x)^{-1}u^2(\log u^2)^2\, dx\right)^{1/2} \\ &\le C\left(\int_{|x|>R} V(x)u^2\, dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|x|>R} V(x)^{-1}(1 + |u|^{2q})\, dx\right)^{1/2} < \infty\end{aligned}$$

(q should be chosen in $(1, 2^*/2)$). Since $\int_{|x|<R} u^2 \log u^2\, dx$ is finite by the growth condition on $u \log u$, J takes only finite values in this case.

In Theorem 1.2 we shall work in the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Although we do not assume $V+1$ is positive everywhere, we do assume that $\sigma(-\Delta+V+1) \subset (0, \infty)$, or equivalently, that the quadratic form $u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^2+(V(x)+1)u^2)\, dx$ is positive definite on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It would be interesting to see if one can remove this assumption at the expense of prescribing some asymptotic conditions on V at infinity as in [6] or [9]. We remark that if $V(x) = V_\infty > -1$ for all x, then Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 1.2 in [16].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize some pertinent results and definitions, mainly taken from [16]. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 and also indicate how a minor gap in a proof in [16] can be removed (see Remark 3.8 below). This gap has been pointed out to the authors of [16] by Chengxiang Zhang. In Section 4 Theorem 1.2 is proved and in the final Section 5 we briefly sketch how the results of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be generalized to an equation involving the p-Laplacian.

Notation. C, C_1, C_2 etc. will denote positive constants whose exact values are inessential. $\langle .\,, . \rangle$ is the duality pairing between X^* and X, where X is a Banach space and X^* its dual. When X is a Hilbert space (which will be the case most of the time), then $\langle .\,, . \rangle$ is the inner product and X^* will be identified with X via duality. $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the norm of the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. $2^* := 2N/(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$ and $2^* := \infty$ if $N = 1$ or 2. $B_R(x)$ denotes the open ball of radius R and center at x. For a functional J on X we set $J^b := \{u \in X : J(u) \leq b\}$, $J_a := \{u \in X : J(u) \geq a\}$, $J_a^b := J_a \cap J^b$. The set of critical points of J (to be defined in the next section) will be denoted by K and we put $K_d := K \cap J_d^d$.

2. Preliminaries

As we have seen in the introduction, the functional J may take the value $+\infty$. Since for $|u| \geq 1$ we have $0 \leq u^2 \log u^2 \leq C(u^2 + |u|^p)$, where p may be chosen in $(2, 2^*)$, J can never take the value $-\infty$. Below X will denote the space (1.3) with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + (V(x)+1)^+ uv)\, dx \tag{2.1}$$

when Theorem 1.1 is considered and $X = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + (V(x)+1)uv)\, dx \tag{2.2}$$

when V is as in Theorem 1.2. Note that the positivity assumption on the spectrum of $-\Delta + V + 1$ in this theorem implies that (2.2) is indeed an inner product, equivalent to the usual one in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

By a solution to (1.1) we mean a function $u \in X$ such that $u^2 \log u^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (i.e., $J(u) < \infty$) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V(x)uv)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv \log u^2\, dx \quad \text{for all } v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Local estimates and standard bootstrap arguments show that such u is a classical solution.

As in [16], we set

$$F_1(s) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}s^2 \log s^2, & |s| < \delta, \\ -\frac{1}{2}s^2(\log \delta^2 + 3) + 2\delta|s| - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2, & |s| > \delta, \end{cases}$$

and $F_2(s) := \frac{1}{2}s^2 \log s^2 + F_1(s)$. Then $F_2(s) - F_1(s) = \frac{1}{2}s^2 \log s^2$ and taking a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, F_1 is convex, $F_1, F_2 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and since $F_2(s) = 0$ for $|s| < \delta$, $|F_2'(s)| \leq C_p|s|^{p-1}$, where p can be chosen arbitrarily in $(2, 2^*)$. Let us now define

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + (V(x)+1)u^2\right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2(u)\, dx,$$

$$\Psi(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_1(u)\, dx.$$

Then $J(u) = \Phi(u) + \Psi(u)$, $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ (by the growth condition on F_2', see [20]), $\Psi \geq 0$, Ψ is convex and, by Fatou's lemma, lower semicontinuous (cf. [7, Lemma 2.9]). Hence J is a functional to which the critical point theory of [17] applies.

Definitions 2.1 ([16], see also [17]). Let X be a Banach space and $J = \Phi + \Psi$, where $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\Psi : X \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is lower semicontinuous and convex, $\Psi \not\equiv +\infty$.
(i) The set $D(J) := \{u \in X : J(u) < +\infty\}$ is called the effective domain of J.
(ii) Let $u \in D(J)$. The set

$$\partial J(u) := \big\{w \in X^* : \langle \Phi'(u), v - u\rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u) \geq \langle w, v - u\rangle\big\} \quad \text{for all } v \in X$$

is called the subdifferential of J at u.
(iii) $u \in X$ is a critical point of J if $u \in D(J)$ and $0 \in \partial J(u)$, i.e.

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v - u\rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in X.$$

(iv) (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence for J if $(J(u_n))$ is bounded and there exist $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$ such that

$$\langle \Phi'(u_n), v - u_n\rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) \geq -\varepsilon_n \|v - u_n\| \quad \text{for all } v \in X. \tag{2.3}$$

(v) J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition if each Palais-Smale seqence has a convergent subsequence.

Below we summarize some properties of the functional J given by (1.2). X will either denote the space (1.3) or $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, depending on the assumptions made on V.

Lemma 2.2 ([16, Lemma 2.2]). *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with regular boundary, then J is of class C^1 in $H^1(\Omega)$.*

The proof uses the fact that $|s \log s^2| \leq C_p(1 + |s|^{p-1})$, $p \in (2, 2^*)$.

Proposition 2.3 ([16, Lemma 2.4]). *If $u \in D(J)$, then there exists a unique $w \in X^*$ such that $\partial J(u) = \{w\}$, i.e.,*

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v - u\rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u) \geq \langle w, v - u\rangle \quad \textit{for this } w \textit{ and all } v \in X.$$

Moreover,

$$\langle \Phi'(u), z\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_1'(u)z \, dx = \langle w, z\rangle \quad \textit{for all } z \in X \textit{ such that } F_1'(u)z \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In [16] V was periodic and $V + 1$ positive but it is easy to see that the change of assumptions on V does not affect the proof.

The unique w in Proposition 2.3 will be denoted by $J'(u)$.

Lemma 2.4. *(i) If $u \in D(J)$, then u is a solution of (1.1) if and only if $J'(u) = 0$.*
(ii) If $J(u_n)$ is bounded, then $J'(u_n) \to 0$ if and only if (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence.
(iii) If $J(u_n)$ is bounded above, $J'(u_n) \to 0$ and $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, then u is a critical point of J.

Proof. (i) If $z \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $F_1'(u)z \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So the conclusion follows from the second assertion of Proposition 2.3.

(ii) Suppose $J(u_n)$ is bounded. According to [17, Proposition 1.2], the condition (2.3) with $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$ is equivalent to the existence of a sequence $z_n \to 0$ such that

$$\langle \Phi'(u_n), v - u_n\rangle + \Psi(v) - \Psi(u_n) \geq \langle z_n, v - u_n\rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in X.$$

(i.e., $z_n \in \partial J(u_n)$). Since here $z_n = J'(u_n)$, it follows that $J'(u_n) \to 0$ if and only if (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence.
(iii) Since Ψ is lower semicontinuous and convex, it is also weakly lower semicontinuous. So $\Psi(u) < \infty$ and $u \in D(J)$. Since $u_n \to u$ in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \in [2, 2^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle J'(u_n), v\rangle &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v + V(x) u_n v)\, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n v \log u_n^2\, dx \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V(x) uv)\, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv \log u^2\, dx = \langle J'(u), v\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. □

Recall that K denotes the set of critical points of J, i.e., $K = \{u \in D(J) : J'(u) = 0\}$. The following vector field of pseudo-gradient type will be important in what follows:

Proposition 2.5 ([16, Lemma 2.7])**.** *There exist a locally finite countable covering (W_j) of $D(J) \setminus K$, a set of points $(u_j) \subset D(J) \setminus K$ and a locally Lipschitz continuous vector field $H : D(J) \setminus K \to X$ with the following properties:*
(i) The diameter of W_j and the distance from u_j to W_j tend to 0 as $j \to \infty$.
(ii) $\|H(u)\| \leq 1$ and $\langle J'(u), H(u)\rangle > z(u)$, where $z(u) := \min \frac{1}{2}\|J'(u_j)\|$ for all j such that $u \in W_j$.
(iii) H has locally compact support, i.e. for each $u_0 \in D(J)\setminus K$ there exist a neighbourhood U_0 of u_0 in $D(J) \setminus K$ and $R > 0$ such that $\operatorname{supp} H(u) \subset B_R(0)$ for all $u \in U_0$.
(iv) $J(u) > J(u_j) - \gamma_j$ for all j such that $u \in W_j$, where $\gamma_j > 0$ and $\gamma_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.
(v) H is odd in u.

Corollary 2.6. *For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, (W_j), (u_j) and H with the same properties as in Proposition 2.5 may be constructed on the set $\{u \in X : a < J(u) < \infty\} \setminus K$ (i.e., $D(J) \setminus K$ may be replaced by $\{u \in X : a < J(u) < \infty\} \setminus K$ throughout).*

This follows by an easy inspection of the proof of [16, Lemma 2.7].

Definition 2.7. *We shall say that a set $A \subset X$ has compact support if there exists $R > 0$ such that $u(x) = 0$ for all $|x| > R$ and $u \in A$.*

We shall also need a logarithmic Sobolev inequality [11] which holds for all $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $a > 0$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \log u^2\, dx \leq \frac{a^2}{\pi}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \left(\log \|u\|_2^2 - N(1 + \log a)\right) \|u\|_2^2. \tag{2.4}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proposition 3.1. *If (u_n) is a sequence such that $J(u_n)$ is bounded above and $J'(u_n) \to 0$, then (u_n) has a convergent subsequence. In particular, J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

Proof. First we show that (u_n) is bounded. The proof is almost the same as that of [16, Lemma 2.9] but for the reader's convenience we include it. Choose $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $J(u_n) \le d$ for all n. Then

$$\|u_n\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2\,dx = 2J(u_n) - \langle J'(u_n), u_n\rangle \le 2d + o(1)\|u_n\| \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Taking $a > 0$ small enough in (2.4) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \log u^2 dx \le \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + C_1\left(\log\|u\|_2^2 + 1\right)\|u\|_2^2. \tag{3.2}$$

So using (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2d \ge 2J(u_n) &= \|u_n\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x)+1)^- u_n^2\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 \log u_n^2\,dx \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - C_2\left(\log\|u_n\|_2^2 + 1\right)\|u_n\|_2^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - C_3\left(1 + \|u_n\|^r\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we take $r \in (1,2)$. Hence the sequence (u_n) is bounded.

Passing to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X for some u and since the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for $p \in [2, 2^*)$ as we have mentioned in the introduction, $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all such p. Taking $v = u$ in (2.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_n, u - u_n\rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^-(x) u_n(u - u_n)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2'(u_n)(u - u_n)\,dx \\ + \Psi(u) - \Psi(u_n) \ge -\varepsilon_n\|u - u_n\|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

hence

$$\|u\|^2 - \|u_n\|^2 + \Psi(u) - \Psi(u_n) + o(1) \ge o(1). \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \Psi(u_n) \ge \Psi(u)$ and $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|^2 \ge \|u\|^2$, the inequality above implies $\|u_n\| \to \|u\|$ and hence $u_n \to u$ in X. □

Remark 3.2. In the next section V will satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. The first part of the argument above shows, after a slight modification, that (u_n) is bounded. Indeed, since the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to the standard norm in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2d \ge 2J(u_n) &= \|u_n\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 \log u_n^2\,dx \ge \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - C_2\left(\log\|u_n\|_2^2 + 1\right)\|u_n\|_2^2 \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - C_3\left(1 + \|u_n\|^r\right), \end{aligned}$$

provided a in (2.4) is taken so small that $\frac{1}{2}$ in (3.2) is replaced by a constant b such that $b\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2$.

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 by adapting the arguments of Bartsch's Fountain Theorem [1, Theorem 2.5], [20, Theorem 3.6] to our situation. First we prove a suitable deformation result.

Lemma 3.3. *If $K_d = \emptyset$, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that there are no Palais-Smale sequences in $J^{d+2\varepsilon_0}_{d-2\varepsilon_0}$.*

Proof. Arguing by contradiction we find a sequence (u_n) such that $J(u_n) \to d$ and $J'(u_n) \to 0$. According to Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.4(iii), $u_n \to u$ and $u \in K$, possibly after taking a subsequence. We shall show that $J(u) = d$. Since (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence,

$$\langle \Phi'(u_n), u - u_n\rangle + \Psi(u) - \Psi(u_n) \geq -\varepsilon_n \|u - u_n\|, \quad \varepsilon_n \to 0^+,$$

and thus $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \Psi(u_n) \leq \Psi(u)$. So it follows from the lower semicontinuity of Ψ that $\Psi(u_n) \to \Psi(u)$. Hence $J(u_n) \to J(u)$ and $J(u) = d$. As $u \in K$, this contradicts the hypothesis $K_d = \emptyset$. □

Suppose $K_d = \emptyset$ and let ε_0 be as in Lemma 3.3. Let $\chi : X \to [0,1]$ be an even locally Lipschitz continuous function such that $\chi = 0$ on $J^{d-\varepsilon_0}$ and $\chi > 0$ otherwise. Consider the flow η given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\eta(t,u) = -\chi(\eta(t,u))H(\eta(t,u)), \\ \eta(0,u) = u, \quad u \in J^{d+\varepsilon_0}, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where $H : \{u \in X : d - 2\varepsilon_0 < J(u) < \infty\} \setminus K \to X$ is the vector field as in Corollary 2.6 and $\chi(u)H(u)$ should be understood as 0 if $J(u) \leq d - \varepsilon_0$. It has been shown in [16] (see the beginning of the proof of Lemma 2.13 there) that $t \mapsto J(\eta(t,u))$ is differentiable and $\frac{d}{dt}J(\eta(t,u)) = \big\langle J'(\eta(t,u)), \frac{d}{dt}\eta(t,u)\big\rangle$. So

$$\frac{d}{dt}J(\eta(t,u)) = -\langle J'(\eta(t,u)), \chi(\eta(t,u))H(\eta(t,u))\rangle \leq -\chi(\eta(t,u))z(\eta(t,u)) \leq 0,$$

thus $t \mapsto J(\eta(t,u)$ is nonincreasing and, since $\|H(u)\| \leq 1$ and $K \cap J^{d+\varepsilon_0}_{d-\varepsilon_0} = \emptyset$, $\eta(t,u)$ exists for all $t \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.4 (Deformation)**.** *Suppose $K_d = \emptyset$ and ε_0 is as in Lemma 3.3. If $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, then for each compact set $A \subset J^{d+\varepsilon} \cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ there exists $T > 0$ such that $J(\eta(T, A)) \subset J^{d-\varepsilon}$.*

Proof. First we show that $\tau := \inf\{z(u) : u \in J^{d+\varepsilon_0}_{d-\varepsilon_0}\} > 0$. Suppose $\tau = 0$. Then we can find a sequence $w_n \in J^{d+\varepsilon_0}_{d-\varepsilon_0}$ such that $z(w_n) \to 0$. By Corollary 2.6 there exists a sequence (u_n) such that $J'(u_n) \to 0$ and $d - 2\varepsilon_0 < J(u_n) \leq J(w_n) + \gamma_n \leq d + 2\varepsilon_0$ for n sufficiently large. So (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence, a contradiction to Lemma 3.3.

Consider the auxiliary flow

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\sigma(t,u) = -H(\sigma(t,u)), \\ \sigma(0,u) = u, \quad u \in J^{d+\varepsilon_0}_{d-\varepsilon_0}. \end{cases}$$

For each u as above this flow exists as long as $J(\sigma(t,u)) > d - 2\varepsilon_0$. So

$$J(\sigma(t,u)) - J(u) = -\int_0^t \langle J'(\sigma(s,u), H(\sigma(s,u))\rangle\, ds \le -\int_0^t z(\sigma(s,u))\, ds \le -\tau t$$

and therefore $J(\sigma(t,u)) \le J(u) - \tau t \le d + \varepsilon_0 - \tau t \le d - \varepsilon_0$ if $t \ge 2\varepsilon_0/\tau$. In particular, $\sigma(\cdot, u)$ must enter the set $J^{d-\varepsilon_0}$.

Let now $A \subset J^{d+\varepsilon} \cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $u \in J^{d-\varepsilon_0}$, then $J(\eta(t,u)) = u$ for all $t \ge 0$. On the set $d-\varepsilon_0 < J(u) \le d+\varepsilon_0$, η and σ have the same flow lines. So in particular, $J(\eta(t,u)) < d-\varepsilon$ for some $t > 0$. Since

$$\eta(t,u) = u - \int_0^t \chi(\eta(s,u)) H(\eta(s,u))\, ds$$

and H has locally compact support, it is easy to see that $\eta([0,t]\times A)$ has compact support (in the sense of Definition 2.7) for each t. Given $u_0 \in A$, we can find $T_0 > 0$ such that $J(\eta(T_0, u_0)) < d - \varepsilon$. According to Lemma 2.2, the restriction of J to $\eta([0,T_0]\times A)$ is continuous, hence $J(\eta(T_0,u)) < d - \varepsilon$ for all u in a neighbourhood A_0 of u_0 in A. Using compactness of A we find a finite covering (A_j) of A and $T_j > 0$ such that $J(\eta(T_j,u)) < d-\varepsilon$ for all $u \in A_j$. Taking $T := \max_j T_j$, the conclusion follows. □

Remark 3.5. For the purpose of the next section let us note that in the proof above we have not used the Palais-Smale condition but only the fact that there are no Palais-Smale sequences in $J^{d+2\varepsilon_0}_{d-2\varepsilon_0}$.

Since X is separable and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in X, there exists a sequence $(X_k) \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of subspaces such that $\dim X_k = k$ and $X = \overline{\cup_{k=1}^\infty X_k}$. Let $Z_k := X_k^\perp$ and

$$B_k := \{u \in X_k : \|u\| \le \rho_k\}, \quad N_k := \{u \in Z_{k-1} : \|u\| = r_k\}, \quad \text{where } \rho_k > r_k > 0.$$

Lemma 3.6 ([20, Lemma 3.4])**.** *If $\gamma \in C(B_k, X)$ is odd and $\gamma|_{\partial B_k} = id$, then $\gamma(B_k) \cap N_k \ne \emptyset$.*

Proposition 3.7. *There exist $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ such that*

$$a_k := \max_{\substack{u\in X_k\\ \|u\|=\rho_k}} J(u) \le 0 \text{ for all } k \quad \text{and} \quad b_k := \inf_{u\in N_k} J(u) \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let $u = sw$, where $u \in X_k$ and $\|w\|_2 = 1$. Then

$$J(sw) = \frac{s^2}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla w|^2 + (V(x)+1)w^2\right) dx - \log s^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w^2 \log w^2\, dx\right).$$

Since all norms in X_k are equivalent and $X_k \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, both integrals above are uniformly bounded. Hence $J(sw) \to -\infty$ uniformly in w as $s \to \infty$, so there exists ρ_k such that $a_k \le 0$. Moreover, ρ_k may be chosen as large as we need.

Let

$$\beta_k := \sup_{\substack{u\in Z_{k-1}\\ \|u\|=1}} \|u\|_2.$$

Then $\beta_k \to 0$. The proof is the same as in [20, Lemma 3.8] but we include it for the reader's convenience. The sequence (β_k) is positive and decreasing, hence $\beta_k \to \beta \geq 0$ and $\|u_k\|_2 \geq \beta_k/2$ for some $u_k \in Z_{k-1}$, $\|u_k\| = 1$. Since $u_k \rightharpoonup 0$ in X, $u_k \to 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This implies that $\beta = 0$.

Using (3.2) as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x)+1)^- u^2\,dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \log u^2\,dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|^2 - C_1\left(\log\|u\|_2^2 + 1\right)\|u\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|^2 - C_2\|u\|_2^p - C_3 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4}\|u\|^2 - C_2\beta_k^p\|u\|^p - C_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $p \in (2, 2^*)$. Let $r_k = 1/\beta_k$ and $\|u\| = r_k$. Then

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{4\beta_k^2} - C_2 - C_3 \to \infty \quad \text{as } k \to \infty$$

and hence $b_k \to \infty$. Since we may choose $\rho_k > r_k$, the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let

$$\Gamma_k := \{\gamma \in C(B_k, X) : \gamma \text{ is odd, } \gamma|_{\partial B_k} = id \text{ and } \gamma(B_k) \text{ has compact support}\}$$

and

$$d_k := \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_k} \max_{u\in B_k} J(\gamma(u)).$$

Since $\gamma(B_k) \cap N_k \neq \emptyset$ according to Lemma 3.6, $d_k \geq b_k \to \infty$ and it remains to show that $K_{d_k} \neq \emptyset$ if k is large. Assuming the contrary, choose $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon$ and T as in Proposition 3.4 and let $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$ be such that $\gamma(B_k) \subset J^{d_k+\varepsilon}$. Let $\beta(u) := \eta(T, \gamma(u))$, where η is the flow (3.5). Since $\eta(T, u) = u$ for all $u \in J^{d_k-\varepsilon_0}$, $\beta \in \Gamma_k$. By Proposition 3.4, $\beta(B_k) \subset J^{d_k-\varepsilon}$, a contradiction to the definition of d_k. □

Remark 3.8. It was important for the argument of Proposition 3.4 that the set A has compact support and the flow η has the property that $\eta([0,t], A)$ has compact support for each $t \geq 0$. Without this it is not clear whether T as required can be found (T_0 in the proof of this proposition exists for each u_0 but a neighbourhood A_0 on which $J(\eta(T_0), u) < d - \varepsilon$ may not exist because of the lack of continuity of J).

We would like to point out here that the argument of Theorem 1.1 in [16] contains a minor gap because the property of compact support is not assumed there. This gap can be removed by requiring that the class $\mathcal{H}$ of mappings introduced there takes sets having compact support into sets with the same property and then minimaxing over compact sets with compact support. Also the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [16] requires a small modification: the paths in the Mountain Pass argument need to be approximated by paths having compact support. This can be done in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 below.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Here we work in the space $X = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the functional (1.2) can be written in the form

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \log u^2 \, dx.$$

Proposition 4.1. *If (u_n) is a sequence such that $J(u_n)$ is bounded above and $J'(u_n) \to 0$, then (u_n) is bounded. In particular, (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.1 in view of Remark 3.2. □

We shall need a limiting problem

$$-\Delta u + V_\infty u = u \log u^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{4.1}$$

The energy functional corresponding to it is

$$J_\infty(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + (V_\infty + 1)\right) dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \log u^2 \; dx.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N} := \{u \in D(J) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'(u), u\rangle = 0\}$$

be the Nehari manifold for J and define the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_\infty$ for J_∞ in the same way. By [16, Theorem 1.2] there exists a solution $u_\infty > 0$ for (4.1) which minimizes J_∞ on $\mathcal{N}_\infty$ (a ground state solution). It is easy to see (cf. [16]) that if $u \in D(J) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varphi_u(s) := J(su)$, $s > 0$, then

$$\varphi_u'(s) = s\left(\|u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2(\log s^2 + \log u^2 + 1)\, dx\right) = 0$$

for a unique s, and this is the unique intersection point of the ray $\{su : s > 0\}$ with $\mathcal{N}$. Moreover, $\varphi_u(s) \to -\infty$ as $s \to \infty$ and if $\|u\| = 1$, then $s \mapsto \Phi(su)$ increases for $0 < s < s_0$ (s_0 independent of u) and $s \mapsto \Psi(su)$ increases for all $s > 0$ (by convexity). Hence $\mathcal{N}$ is bounded away from the origin.

Let

$$\Gamma := \{\alpha \in C([0,1], X) : \alpha(0) = 0,\ J(\alpha(1)) < 0\}$$

and

$$c := \inf_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \sup_{s\in[0,1]} J(\alpha(s)), \quad c_{\mathcal{N}} := \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}} J(u).$$

Clearly, $c \le c_{\mathcal{N}}$, and since $F_2'(s) \le C|s|^{p-1}$ and $\Psi \ge 0$, it is easy to see that $J(u)$ is bounded away from 0 on a (small) sphere around the origin (cf. [16, Lemma 2.15]). In particular, $c > 0$ (and c is the mountain pass level).

Lemma 4.2. *(i) If $V \not\equiv V_\infty$, then $c_{\mathcal{N}} < c_\infty$, where $c_\infty := \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\infty} J_\infty(u)$.*
(ii) If $J(u_n) \to d \in (0, c_\infty)$ and $J'(u_n) \to 0$, then $u_n \rightharpoonup u \ne 0$ after passing to a subsequence, u is a critical point of J and $J(u) \le d$.

Proof. (i) Let $s_0 > 0$ be such that $s_0 u_\infty \in \mathcal{N}$ where $u_\infty > 0$ is a ground state for (4.1). Since $V(x) < V_\infty$ in an open set, $u_\infty > 0$ and $s \mapsto J_\infty(su_\infty)$, $s > 0$, has a unique maximum at $s = 1$,

$$c_{\mathcal{N}} \le J(s_0 u_\infty) < J_\infty(s_0 u_\infty) \le J_\infty(u_\infty) = c_\infty.$$

(ii) By Proposition 4.1, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X, $u_n(x) \to u(x)$ a.e. after passing to a subsequence and, according to (iii) of Lemma 2.4, u is a critical point of J. By Fatou's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} d &= J(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}\langle J'(u_n), u_n\rangle + o(1) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2\,dx + o(1) \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2\,dx + o(1) = J(u) - \frac{1}{2}\langle J'(u), u\rangle + o(1) = J(u) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

So $J(u) \le d$ and it remains to show that $u \ne 0$. Arguing indirectly, suppose $u = 0$. Since $u_n \to 0$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $V(x) \to V_\infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$,

$$J(u_n) - J_\infty(u_n) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - V_\infty)u_n^2\,dx \to 0$$

and therefore $J_\infty(u_n) \to d$. Using the Hölder and the Sobolev inequalities and taking v with $\|v\| = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\langle J'(u_n) - J'_\infty(u_n), v\rangle\right| &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_\infty - V(x))|u_n|\,|v|\,dx \\ &\le C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_\infty - V(x))u_n^2\,dx\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

As the right-hand side tends to 0 uniformly in $\|v\| = 1$, $J'(u_n) - J'_\infty(u_n) \to 0$ and hence $J'_\infty(u_n) \to 0$. Taking $p \in (2, 2^*)$, we have

$$o(1) = \langle J'(u_n), u_n\rangle \ge \|u_n\|^2 - C_1 \int_{\{u_n^2 \ge 1/e\}} |u_n|^p\,dx,$$

and if $\|u_n\|_p \to 0$, then $u_n \to 0$ in X. By (2.3) with $v = 0$,

$$\langle \Phi'(u_n), -u_n\rangle - \Psi(u_n) \ge -\varepsilon_n \|u_n\|$$

which implies $\Psi(u_n) \to 0$. So $J(u_n) \to 0$ contrary to the assumption that $J(u_n) \to d > 0$. It follows that $\|u_n\|_p \not\to 0$ and hence by Lions' lemma [13, Lemma I.1], [20, Lemma 1.21] there are $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for large n,

$$\int_{B_1(y_n)} u_n^2\,dx \ge \delta.$$

Let $v_n(x) := u_n(x + y_n)$. Since J_∞ is invariant with respect to translations by elements of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $J_\infty(v_n) \to d$ and $J'_\infty(v_n) \to 0$. Moreover,

$$\int_{B_1(0)} v_n^2\,dx = \int_{B_1(y_n)} u_n^2\,dx \ge \delta$$

and therefore $v_n \rightharpoonup v \ne 0$ after passing to a subsequence. So v is a nontrivial critical point of J_∞ and $J_\infty(v) \le d < J_\infty(u_\infty)$ which is the desired contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If $V \equiv V_\infty$, then u_∞ is a solution we are looking for. So assume $V(x) < V_\infty$ for some x.

Suppose there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, c/2)$ such that there are no Palais-Smale sequences in $J^{c+2\varepsilon_0}_{c-2\varepsilon_0}$ and let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. Choose $\alpha \in \Gamma$ so that $\alpha([0,1]) \subset J^{c+\varepsilon/2}$. We may assume $J(\alpha(1)) < -\varepsilon/2$. Let $\chi_R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, [0,1])$ be such that $\chi_R(x) = 1$ if $|x| \le R$, $\chi_R(x) = 0$ if $|x| \ge 2R$ and $|\nabla \chi_R| \le 1$. Let $u_R(x) := \chi_R(x)u(x)$. It is easy to see that $\|u_R - u\| \to 0$ uniformly in $u \in \alpha([0,1])$ as $R \to +\infty$. Since $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $\Phi(u_R) \le \Phi(u) + \varepsilon/2$ for all $u \in \alpha([0,1])$. Moreover, as F_1 is convex and $|u_R| \le |u|$, $\Psi(u_R) \le \Psi(u)$. So it follows that $\alpha_R([0,1]) \subset J^{c+\varepsilon}$ and $J(\alpha_R(1)) < 0$, where $\alpha_R(s) := \chi_R \alpha(s)$. Clearly, α_R has compact support and $\alpha_R(0) = 0$. Hence $\alpha_R \in \Gamma$. Using Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.5 we set $\beta_R(s) := \eta(T, \alpha_R(s))$ and obtain $\beta_R \in \Gamma$, $\beta_R([0,1]) \subset J^{c-\varepsilon}$, a contradiction to the definition of c. Since ε_0 may be chosen arbitrarily small, there exists a sequence (u_n) such that $J'(u_n) \to 0$ and $J(u_n) \to c$. Using Lemma 4.2 we obtain a critical point $u \ne 0$ of J such that $J(u) \le c$. So $u \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence $c = c_{\mathcal{N}}$ and u is a ground state solution (and so is $-u$). As in [16], we first see that $\pm u$ cannot change sign and then that either u or $-u$ is strictly positive. This completes the proof. □

5. Extension to the p-Laplacian

For the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = |u|^{p-2}u \log |u|^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{5.1}$$

where $1 < p < N$, results similar to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold. The functional corresponding to (5.1) is

$$J(u) := \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + (V(x)+1)|u|^p)\,dx - \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \log |u|^p\,dx. \tag{5.2}$$

Theorem 5.1. *If $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} V(x) = \infty$, then equation (5.1) has infinitely many solutions $\pm u_n$ such that $J(\pm u_n) \to \infty$.*

Theorem 5.2. *If $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} V(x) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) := V_\infty \in (-1, \infty)$ and*

$$\inf\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + (V(x)+1)|u|^p)\,dx : \|u\|_p = 1\right\} > 0, \tag{5.3}$$

then equation (1.1) has a ground state solution $u > 0$.

Since the proofs are similar to those of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we only point out the main differences.

In Theorem 5.1 we shall work in the space

$$X := \{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|^p := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + (V(x)+1)^+|u|^p)\,dx < \infty\}. \tag{5.4}$$

It is well known that the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (and hence $X \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $p \le q < p^* := Np/(N-p)$) is compact. Since we could not find any convenient reference

to this result, we include a brief argument. Let (u_n) be bounded and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Passing to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\|u_n - u\|^p \le C$ for some C. Choose $R > 0$ so that $V(x) + 1 \ge C/\varepsilon$ if $|x| > R$. Then

$$\int_{|x|>R} |u_n - u|^p \, dx \le \frac{\varepsilon}{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x)+1)^+ |u_n - u|^p \, dx \le \frac{\varepsilon}{C} \|u_n - u\|^p \le \varepsilon$$

and since $u_n \to u$ in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the conclusion follows.

The results of Section 2, including the definition of the functionals Φ and Ψ, remain valid after making obvious changes (in particular, in the definition of F_1, $-\frac{1}{2}s^2 \log s^2$ should be replaced by $-\frac{1}{p}|s|^p \log |s|^p$ for $|s| < \delta$). In the proof of the boundedness part of Proposition 3.1 inequality (2.4) must be replaced by a p-logarithmic Sobolev inequality. A suitable version for our purposes is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p \log |u|^p \, dx \le \frac{N}{p} \log(C_p \|\nabla u\|_p^p),$$

see the formula in the middle of p. 153 in [8]. In the convergence part of the proof of Proposition 3.1, a formula corresponding to (3.3) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla (u - u_n) + (V(x)+1)^+ |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u - u_n) \big) \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x)+1)^- |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u - u_n) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_2'(u_n)(u - u_n) \, dx \\ &\quad + \Psi(u) - \Psi(u_n) \ge -\varepsilon_n \|u - u_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

and this gives (3.4) with exponent 2 replaced by p. In Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 only minor changes are needed. On the other hand, the construction of (X_k) and (Z_k) requires some care. It is known that the space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has a Schauder basis $(e_k)_{k=1}^\infty$, see e.g. [19, Section 2.5.5, Remark 2]. By [12, Proposition 1.a.9], this basis may be chosen so that $e_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all k. There exists a set of biorthogonal functionals $(e_k^*)_{k=1}^\infty \subset X^*$, i.e. functionals such that $\langle e_m^*, e_k \rangle = \delta_{km}$ [12, Section 1.b]. It is then easy to see that e_k^* are total, i.e. $\langle e_k^*, u \rangle = 0$ for all k implies $u = 0$ (cf. [12, Section 1.f]). Now we take $X_k := \operatorname{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ and $Z_k := \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{span}\{e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots\}$, where cl denotes the closure, and we define a_k, b_k, B_k, N_k as previously. Lemma 3.6 still holds, essentially with the same proof as in [20], see [18, Proposition 4.6]. In the proof of Proposition 3.7 the exponent 2 should be replaced by p and $\beta_k^p \|u\|^p$ by $\beta_k^q \|u\|^q$, where $q \in (p, p^*)$. That $\beta_k \to 0$ follows from the fact that if $u_k \in Z_{k-1}$ and $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, then u must be 0 because e_k^* are total. The remaining part of the proof is unchanged.

In Theorem 5.2 we work in the space $X = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the same norm as in (5.4). Since $V_\infty + 1 > 0$, it is equivalent to the usual norm. Note however that if $V(x) + 1 < 0$ for some x, then the integral in (5.4) with $(V(x)+1)^+$ replaced by $V(x)+1$ does not define a norm. The rest of the argument is essentially the same. Assumption (5.3) implies that each ray intersects the Nehari manifold at a unique point and since $u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x)+1)^- |u|^p \, dx$ is weakly continuous, one easily verifies that J has the mountain pass geometry. Finally,

it follows from [14, Theorem 5.3.1] that if $u \geq 0$ is a nontrivial solution, then $u > 0$. More precisely, if we take $f(u) = u^{p-1}(C - \log u^p)$ with $C > 0$ large enough, then f is increasing on $(0, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f(u) \geq u^{p-1}(V(x) - \log u^p)$ for all $u > 0$. Let $F(u) := \int_0^u f(s)\,ds$. Since $F(u)^{-1/p}$ is not integrable at $u = 0$, all assumptions of (the first part of) the above-mentioned theorem are satisfied and hence $u > 0$.

References

[1] T. Bartsch, *Infinitely many solutions of a symmetric Dirichlet problem*, Nonlinear Anal. 20 (1993), 1205–1216.

[2] T. Bartsch, A. Pankov, Z.Q. Wang, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with steep potential well*, Comm. Contemp. Math. 3 (2001), 549–569.

[3] T. Cazenave, *Stable solutions of the logarithmic Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. 7 (1983), 1127–1140.

[4] T. Cazenave, *An Introduction to Nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Textos de Métodos Matemáticos vol. 26, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 1996.

[5] T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, *Équations d'évolution avec non linéarité logarithmique*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 2 (1980), 21–51.

[6] G. Cerami, G. Devillanova, S. Solimini, *Infinitely many bound states for some nonlinear scalar field equations*, Calc. Var. 23 (2005), 139–168.

[7] P. D'Avenia, E. Montefusco, M. Squassina, *On the logarithmic Schrödinger equation*, Comm. Contemp. Math. 16 (2014), 1–15.

[8] M. Del Pino, J. Dolbeault, *The optimal Euclidean L^p-Sobolev logarithmic inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. 197 (2003), 151–161.

[9] G. Evéquoz, T. Weth, *Entire solutions to nonlinear scalar field equations with indefinite linear part*, Adv. Nonl. Stud. 12 (2012), 281–314.

[10] P. Guerrero, J.L. López, J. Nieto, *Global H^1 solvability of the 3D logarithmic Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 11 (2010), 79–87.

[11] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, Second edition, Graduate Studies in Math. 14, AMS, Providence, RI, 2001.

[12] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.

[13] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case.* II, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1 (1984), 223–283.

[14] P. Pucci, J. Serrin, *The Maximum Principle*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.

[15] P. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43 (1992) 270–291.

[16] M. Squassina, A. Szulkin, *Multiple solutions to logarithmic Schrödinger equations with periodic potential*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54 (2015), 585–597.

[17] A. Szulkin, *Minimax principles for lower semicontinuus functions and applications to nonlinear boundary value problems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire 3 (1986), 77–109.

[18] A. Szulkin, S. Waliullah, *Infinitely many solutions for some singular elliptic problems*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Systems 33 (2013), 321–333.

[19] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.

[20] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.

[21] K.G. Zloshchastiev, *Logarithmic nonlinearity in theories of quantum gravity: origin of time and observational consequences*, Gravit. Cosmol. 16 (2010), 288–297.

Department of Mathematics, East China University of Science and Technology, 200237 Shanghai, China

E-mail address: jichao@ecust.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail address: andrzejs@math.su.se